Preserved

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1935-36 (Deny) Deny File No. 70-35

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

Later References. 1 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 2

Part B for October 1935

SUBJECT.

Recommendation to take the temple of Sri Gattu Venkataramanaswamy at Kattu Anakalahalli, in Kulbagal Taluk and the properties endowed therefor under the Management of Government

No 4881 82 dt 15-10-35

To

The Deny. Rai Bahadur
" Comptroller

Forwarding the recommendation

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Letter No. 316 D/ 10/1935 from the Commissioner of Labour
That the recommendation of the Agency Commissioner to take the tenure of Sri Gattu Lo. Swami on Muktan Siddam Kanakalli Subbaraju Tenant and the properties enjoyed by him under the management of Government Mining be agreed

The recommendation of the Agency may be approved

Gen: Secy) D) Dayne 2/9/35

I Member) 10/9

4) J/D.

1701
16-9

2619

with a draft.

2 G.O. No 481-82 D/ 15-10-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Commissions returned to — in Sl.

23.10.

GENL. SECRETARIAT 1394 21 JUN. 1935

M C 13.] No. C.314/34-35.

Enclosures

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 15th June *1935.*

(Camp) Mysore, 21/

From

N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

MUZRAI BRANCH GENL SECRETARIAT 21 JUN 1935

To Muz 70-35-1

K.V. Anantaraman Esq., B.A.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Department

Sir,

Taking under Government management the temple of Sri Gattu Venkataramanaswami at Mustur Chamakalahalli in Mulbagal Taluk.

I have the honor to forward herewith copies of letters Nos.A.2. C.555/34-35 dated 4-9-1934 and 26-5-1935 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, together with the file of correspondence on the above subject.

2. The facts of the case have been set forth in detail in the Sub Division Officer's Order No. R.1. Muz. C.35/34-35 dated 13-3-1935, a copy of which is enclosed in the records. I request that the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation to take the institution and its endowed properties under the management of Government may be approved under Section 18 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R.

Letter No.A2-C.555/34-35 dated 26-6-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Taking under Government management the temple of Sri Ghattu Venkataramanaswami - Muthur Chamakalahalli -Mulbagal Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.314/34-35 dated 30-4-35, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of correspondence ending with the Kolar Sub Division Officer's Memo No.R.1.Muz.C.1873/34-35 dated 22-5-35 re. the above.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A2-C.555/34-35 dated 4-9-34 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Taking under Government management - Sri Ghattu Venkataramanaswami temple - Mustur Chamakalahalli - Mulbagal Taluk.

I have the honor to state that one Somappa of Bandarlahalli, Palamaneru Taluk, Chittur District, has given several petitions stating that his father constructed the abovenamed temple, that he was managing the affairs of the temple and he died about a year back and that he the petitioner Somappa prays that the management of the temple may be entrusted to him.

2. This temple is a private institution. It is reported that though Maligappa (deceased) father of the petitioner, Somappa, took prominent part in the management of the affairs of the temple, he was only one of several leaders from neighbouring villages, that Somappa claims the temple as if it was the private property of his father Maligappa and that no such ownership has been established.

3. As per G.O.No.7262/L.R.124-21-8 dated 9th June 1922 addressed to the President, District Board, Kolar, (copy not available) jatra is being held in connection with this temple. The Sub Division Officer, Kolar after enquiry ordered on 31st July 1934 the sale of the right to collect kanike and the fees for removing hair during Sravanamasa, as the temple is a public institution. The sale was accordingly held and confirmed by the Sub Division Officer. Against this order, Somappa gave a petition to me and I stayed the sale pending disposal of the petition.

4. The Sub Division Officer states that there is every likelihood of the temple developing into a big institution as it is visited by the public in large numbers in Sravanamasa, that he thinks that it is a fit case for enquiry under Section 17 of the Mysore Religiousand Charitable Institutions Regulation and for taking over the institution under Government management, that Sompappa has no manner of right to be in sole charge of the management, that he may be appointed as one of the Dharmadarsis and that the arrangement he has ordered may be allowed to continue this year. I have therefore directed that the Sub Division Officer may hold an enquiry under Section 17 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation and that pending such enquiry and final orders, the tentative arrangements made by him are approved in

anticipation of your sanction.

"COPY"

G. Ramakrishna

For Muzrai Commissioner.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mlm 3

File No. To-35

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 15-10-1935.

Signed by Sd B.M.

Read—

Letter no C 314/34-35 dy 20.6.1935 from the M.C ~~directing~~ ~~a~~ ~~proposal to take~~ ~~the temple of~~ proposing to take the temple of Sri Gatte Venkataramanaswami at Mushrchanakatakalli, Order thereon No Mulbagal Tk., and the property endowed to it for the management in accordance with Govt ~~under~~ Sec 15 of the Mysore R+C. Inst^ns Regulation II of 1927 Order No 481-82 dy 18-10-35 ~~Encl~~ Records

The temple of Sri Gatte Venkataramana Swami Situated in S. No 26 Sno.

(Kharab) of Upstoor Chamakalakalli

Village, Byrkur Hobli Mulbagal

Tk. It is reported that a ~~public~~ private

Religious Institution, ~~likely to develop~~ and ~~that~~

~~of Confidence into a big institution~~ people living in several villages

is it is visited by ~~the~~ public taken an active part in

in large numbers in the months constructing and maintaining it, through maligapp-

of ~~Nov~~ January each year - the father of the petitioner

Somappa who claims it as

his father's private propert

took a prominent part i

the management of the

temple.

On receipt of Complaints that

the affairs of the temple were

mismanaged & the funds and that

of the temple, ~~has~~ are being misappropriated

the D.C. Kolar, directed the

S. D-o, Kolar Division, to hold

an enquiry under Sec 17 of

the Mysore Religious & Charitable *List of Enclosure*

Insts Regn VII of 1927 and to

report the result - The S. D-o

~~accordingly~~ Conducted ~~held~~ the enquiry and he states

~~and has~~ forwarded ~~held~~ in his ~~Memo~~ *Copied by*

No. R1 Mulg C 35/34-35 of 13-3-35 *Examined by* ~~Go Follows~~ that there

~~was~~ is no ~~the~~ evidence to show

that the Villagers of Kalka

dozen villages ~~have~~ WD 0168 GPD-25-06-13-9-34 near mastur Chamakalkalli

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

have contributed funds for the construction and maintenance of the temple, and that the temple is not the private property of Maligappa & it is a public religious institution dedicated for the benefit of the public and that in which the leaders of several adjacent Villages were taking active interest both Maligappa & Their Spokesmen, that being a dispute between members of the A Committee which existed during in the life time of Maligappa on his failing to account for some money, the temple is not being managed properly and certain sums collected by Maligappa, his son Soubbappa, and Munigowda have not been accounted for or credited to the funds of the temple.

in the management of the temple along

whereas

was appointed to manage the temple

owing to dissensions in the Committee

Whatever may have been the interest
Mahalingappa
~~Their~~ Maliqappa was ~~fish~~ taking
in the institution his actions
in his last days showed that
he was incapable of devotion
to its best interests. & Somappa
has far placed his private
interest higher than the interest
of the temple or its devotees
& his being given to the Committee
of Management brings out
clearly in the best interests of the ~~Devasthanam~~ institution.
He is not a resident of the State. He does not
even know the language of the country and
to his advantage is blind.
~~In these circumstances the~~
In these circumstances the S. D. O. has
under Sec^n 18 (5) of the Reg^n
of Govt.
framing rules, ~~has directed~~ appointed
~~that~~ the Amildar of Gulbegal taluk as
~~to~~ the Sole Dharmadarsi
and directed him
of the temple & to take charge
and the
of the institution & properties
to it
and ~~directs~~. ~~to~~, He has also
the Amildar
~~directed~~, ~~him to~~ to inform

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Gt. has directed the S.D.C. to hold an enquiry under Section 17 of the Religious and Charitable Endowments Regulation and has approved of the tentative arrangements made by him.

the arcot Nor to take any
orders from Somappa Nor
to permit Somappa to have
anything to do with the
temple. The D.C. Kolar &c.
~~&c, agreeing with the A.D.C. He~~
has recommended that the
Question of the endowed properties
might be taken under the
Management of Govt. Board
The M.C. supports
~~&c~~ the recommendation of the
D.C. Kolar &c. The proposals
Recommr of the D.C. supported by the M.C
Record to Secretariat

3019 for Govt.

To

The M.C. with records
The Compr

25/5